




KORESPONDENSI JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI UNNES LAW JOURNAL



















UNNES LAW JOURNAL

PAPER REVIEW FORM

Semarang, Indonesia

I. Introduction

The Journal editorial board is interested in publishing wide range of papers from the field of legal studies in the multidisciplinary approach including economic, psychology, social politics, technology, and any related approach. All the papers submitted for publishing must be written in compliance with the Journals Instructions for the authors and are subject to double-blinded review. Only part IV. - The Review of this form will be presented to the author thus not revealing the reviewer's identity. The papers shall be classified as (1) Research Article, (2) Review Article, (3) Book Review, (4) Notes, or (5) Current Commentaries.

II. Guidelines for the reviewers

In reviewing, please pay attention to the following elements:

- (1) It is important to consider and conclude if the paper makes a valuable contribution to existing knowledge and literature in terms of theory development, new data, new methodology etc.
- (2) Is the paper written and submitted according to Journals Instructions for the authors.
- (3) Is the paper written and presented up to publishable standards of the Journal in terms of: whether any concepts have been defined adequately, whether the paper is well structured with coherent argumentation and well integrated, clarity of any statistical data, tables and diagrams, whether the title reflects the contents of the paper accurately, if any part of the paper should be cut out, restructured or further developed.
- (4) Appropriateness of referencing in terms of giving adequate credit to other contributors in the field, major omissions and whether the references are complete and written correctly.
- (5) Reviewers' proposal to the course of action may be to: accept the paper as presented, repeat the review process after changes recommended by reviewer, reject the paper.

III. Classification Guidelines

- (1) A research Article presents the results of original research that were not previously published in either full or preliminary form.
- (2) A review article contains an overall review of recent and current research in a specific area. Papers in this category are surveys in nature that should contain critical references and evaluations. The references must be complete enough to permit a good insight into the subject-matter.
- (3) Book Review presents the comment and critical notes for certain book title
- (4) Notes does not have to be based on original research, but it should contribute to the application of well-known research results and present theoretical conceptions. Commonly notes are the case or judgment analysis in critical approach.
- (5) Current Commentaries contains an overall comment on the previous paper published in this journal

IV. Reviewers personal data

NAME:	[reviewer identity sho	ould be blind	ed]		
TITLE:	[reviewer identity should be blinded]				
ORGANIZATION:	[reviewer identity should be blinded]				
ADDRESS:	[reviewer identity should be blinded]				
PHONE:		E-MAIL:			
Hereby I state that submitted review is done		SIGNATUI	RE		
objectively and indifferently and is solely based					
on professional, scientifi	c, and ethical standards.				

Title: Law Inforcement	nt for	Cuhnary	Business	Actors	;
Title: Law Infortement for Violations Education 2	in Obli	gations	in Jun Pi	ementi	29
	Protocol	of Covid.	- 19 Preve	ention	in Jakarta
No. of Pages:	No.	of References:	5 86	4/	
B. GENERAL EVALUATION	OF THE PA	PER			
	Poor	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
Theoretical / Conceptual Framework				Ø	
Statement of The Problem				\square	
Significance of Research Literature Review					
Methodology			\Box		
Quality of Data or Findings				\Box	
Results and Conclusion				\square	
Readability and Writing Style	Ц	Ш		\square	П
C. ORIGINALITY OF THE PA	APER AND I	TS CONTRI	BUTION TO	THE FIL	ELD
No	ne Tri	vial Mod	est Impo	rtant V	ery significant
Contribution to the field			abla		
Other titles covering the same iss	sues:				
Author has been	are relati	ted to thi	s topic,	however	
Significant of 1 (Ovid-19 Prevent	rese arch	especiall	y concé	ruing	
(OVid-19 Prevent	ion polic	y in Jo	cikarta.		

D. OVERALL REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND IMPRESIONS	
	*
E. OVERALL EVALUATION	
Deignet Assemble and Devictor Assemble to the	
Reject Accept with major Revision Accept with minor revision and further review without further review	Accept
	unconditionally
Evaluation	
Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (if any):	
in any).	
- Please see the method. At this part, Author h	rave to
explain clearly how the data & information	
	0 2 10 01 101
- Check your abstract, some of important parts of	ruch as
	. 17
Point.	1 1045
10 0017 .	
F. CLASIFICATION OF THE PAPER	
r. CLASIFICATION OF THE PAPER	
If the paper is accepted unconditionally or is accepted with minor revision without	t further review
under the term that author has revised the paper according to the Reviewers recon	nmondations on
improvements and revision (which is evaluated by the chief editor) the paper show	uld be clossified
as:	ma be classified
Research Article	
Review Article	
Book Review	
Notes	
Current Commentary	